

## Chapter 16, #1

$$y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 + \beta_1 z_1 + U_1$$

$$y_1 = \alpha_2 y_2 + \beta_2 z_2 + U_2$$

i) If  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{reduced form: } y_1 = \beta_1 z_1 + U_1$$

function of  $z_1$ , exogenous,  $U_1$  error

If  $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 = 0$

$$\text{reduced form: } y_1 = \beta_2 z_2 + U_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_2 z_2 + U_2 = \alpha_1 y_2 + \beta_1 z_1 + U_1$$

$$\Rightarrow y_2 = (\beta_2 / \alpha_1) z_2 - (\beta_1 / \alpha_1) z_1 + U_2 - U_1$$

$$= \pi_{22} z_2 + \pi_{21} z_1 + V_2$$

$\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0$   
 $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$

ii) multiply second structural equation by  $\alpha_1 / \alpha_2$  and subtract from the first

$$y_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 - \alpha_1 y_2 + \beta_1 z_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) \beta_2 z_2 + U_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) U_2$$

$$[1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2)] y_1 = \beta_1 z_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) \beta_2 z_2 + U_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) U_2$$

$$\Rightarrow y_1 = \pi_{11} z_1 + \pi_{12} z_2 + V_1$$

$$\pi_{11} = \beta_1 / [1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2)], \pi_{12} = -(\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) \beta_2 / [1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2)]$$

$$V_1 = [U_1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) U_2] / [1 - (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2)]$$

Is  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$  likely to hold in supply and demand models?

reasonable. Suppose  $y_1$  is quantity,  $y_2$  is price and the first equation is a supply model and the second equation is a demand model

then generally  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 < 0$

Chapter 16, 4

Consider SEM

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\text{earnings}) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{alcohol} + \beta_2 \text{educ} + U \\ \text{alcohol} &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \log(\text{earnings}) + \gamma_2 \text{educ} \\ &\quad + \gamma_3 \log(\text{price}) + U_2\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  non-zero  
which is identified?

the first since  $\log(\text{price})$  determines alcohol consumption but not  $\log(\text{earnings})$   
It is a valid instrument and we can estimate by 2SLS.

Chapter 10, #2

$$gGDP_t = \alpha_0 + \delta_0 \text{int}_t + \delta_1 \text{int}_{t-1} + U_t$$

$U_t$  uncorrelated with  $\text{int}_t, \text{int}_{t-1}, \text{int}_{t-2}, \dots$

Suppose federal reserve policy is  
 $\text{int}_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (gGDP_{t-1-3}) + V_t, \gamma_1 > 0$

(if GDP growth greater than 3%, the Fed increases interest rates to prevent an overheated economy)

If  $V_t$  uncorrelated with  $U_t$  and  $int_t, int_{t-1}, int_{t-2}, \dots$   
argue  $int_t$  must be correlated with  $U_{t-1}$

write  $gGDP_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \delta_0 int_{t-1} + \delta_1 int_{t-2} + U_{t-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} int_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (\alpha_0 + \delta_0 int_{t-1} + \delta_1 int_{t-2} + U_{t-1}) + V_t \\ &= (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \alpha_0) + \gamma_1 \delta_0 int_{t-1} + \gamma_1 \delta_1 int_{t-2} \\ &\quad + \gamma_1 U_{t-1} + V_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(int_t, U_{t-1}) &= \gamma_1 Cov(U_{t-1}, U_{t-1}) \\ &= \gamma_1 Var(U_{t-1}) \\ &= \gamma_1 \sigma_u^2 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

violates strict exogeneity TS.2

Chapter 10, #5

quarterly data on: new housing starts  
interest rates  
real per capita income

model for housing starts that accounts for trends and seasonality

$$\begin{aligned} \log(nhstart_t) &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \delta_1 Q2_t + \delta_2 Q3_t \\ &\quad + \delta_3 Q4_t + \beta_1 int_t + \beta_2 \log(PC.inct) \\ &\quad + U_t \end{aligned}$$