

# ECO375 Tutorial 2

## Wooldridge: Chapter 3 and Appendix D and E

Matt Tudball

University of Toronto St. George

September 22, 2017

Welcome back!

Today's coverage:

- Chapter 3, #10 i) and ii) (in slides)
- Appendix D, #1 (on the board)
- Appendix D, #3 (on the board)
- Appendix E, #2 (on the board)
- If time permits, Appendix E, #1 (on the board)

Suppose that you are interested in estimating the ceteris paribus relationship between  $y$  and  $x_1$ . For this purpose, you can collect data on two control variables,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . (For concreteness, you might think of  $y$  as final exam score,  $x_1$  as class attendance,  $x_2$  as GPA up through the previous semester and  $x_3$  as SAT or ACT score). Let  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  be the simple regression estimate from  $y$  on  $x_1$  and let  $\hat{\beta}_1$  be the multiple regression estimate from  $y$  on  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ .

## Chapter 3, # 10

i) If  $x_1$  is highly correlated  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  in the sample and  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  have large partial effects on  $y$ , would you expect  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  to be very similar or very different? Explain.

i) If  $x_1$  is highly correlated  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  in the sample and  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  have large partial effects on  $y$ , would you expect  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  to be very similar or very different? Explain.

**This is an omitted variable problem. In the simpler case where there is only  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  we know that the relationship between the simple and multiple regression coefficients is  $\tilde{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1$  (3.23) where  $\tilde{\delta}_1$  is the slope coefficient from the simple regression of  $x_2$  on  $x_1$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are highly correlated then  $\tilde{\delta}_1$  is potentially large and if  $x_2$  has a large partial effect on  $y$  then  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is also potentially large, meaning that  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  could be very different. This intuition extends naturally to the case with  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ .**

ii) If  $x_1$  is almost uncorrelated with  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ , but  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are highly correlated, will  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  tend to be similar or very different? Explain.

ii) If  $x_1$  is almost uncorrelated with  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ , but  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are highly correlated, will  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  tend to be similar or very different? Explain.

**Recall that there is only an omitted variable problem if  $x_1$  is correlated with the omitted variables  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  and the omitted variables have a direct partial effect on  $y$ . The correlation between  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  is irrelevant if  $x_1$  is almost uncorrelated with both of them, so we should expect  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  to be similar. There may be exceptions if, for example, the partial effects of  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  on  $y$  are very large.**